

KINGS LAW REPORTS

(ALL SC/NOVEL CA)

(2008) KLR VOL 7 PART 258 pp. 2843 - 3160

JULY 2008

Dedicated to the King of kings

O. O. NOEL ESQ. Chief Editor

Nnabuike S. Orji Esq. Managing Editor

INDEX OF CASES REPORTED

1. Ekwunugo v. Federal Republic of Nigeria p. 2843
2. Nika Fishing Co. Ltd v. Lavina Corporation p. 2855
3. Odedo v. INEC p. 2887
4. Okoye v. Centre Point Merchant Bank Ltd p. 2965
5. Oyebamiji v. Lawanson p. 2991
6. Salami v. Lawal p. 3013
7. Shekse v. Plankshak P. 3045
8. Ugboaja v. Sowemimo p. 3061
9. Yaki v. State p. 3077

COURT OF APPEAL CASES

1. C. G. G. Nig. Ltd. v. Amaewhule p. 3119 CA
2. Gagarau v. Pashiri p. 3135 CA

ii INDEX OF SUBJECT MATTER IN (2008) 7 KLR

ACTIONS - Academic suit - Or issue - Definition - Includes - Asuit that is of no practical value to plaintiff even if he succeeds - An issue that does not relate to live issues in the litigation (H4) Odedo v. INEC p. 2887

ADVANCE FEE FRAUD - No case submission - Propriety of - Can be upheld for lack of evidence - Or discrediting vide cross examination - What to consider - Is whether prima facie case requiring explanation - Was made out against accused person (H2) Ekwunugo v. Federal Republic of Nigeria p. 2843

APPEALS - Briefs - Relevance - Multiplicity - Allegation that there are 3 Briefs - May only justify striking out irrelevant ones - As to strike out appellant's entire Briefs will be clear injustice (H3) Odedo v. INEC p. 2887

APPEALS - Concurrent findings of facts - Attitude of appellate court - Is not to interfere therewith - Unless there is miscarriage of justice or violation of some principles of law (H3) Oyebamiji v. Lawanson p. 2991

APPEALS - Ground of appeal - Meaning - It is the totality of the reasons why the decision appealed against - Is considered wrong in law or fact or both - Unlike the purported ground 1 of instant appellant (H3) Ugboaja v. Sowemimo p. 3061

APPEALS - Grounds of fact - Right of appeal - Extent - Where grounds of appeal are of facts or mixed law and fact - As in the instant case - Appeal must be with leave - Which the appellant failed to seek and obtain (H1) Ugboaja v. Sowemimo p. 3061

APPEALS - Grounds of law - Meaning - They are grounds that raise questions to be answered in accordance with rules of law - Allowing no exercise of discretion to the court in whatever manner (H2) Ugboaja v. Sowemimo p. 3061

APPEALS - Issues - Procedure - Contention that some issues did not

flow from any ground of appeal - Is not well founded - Issue of application of s. 22 Supreme Court Act - Is a matter of course (H2) Odedo v. INEC p. 2887

APPEALS - Preliminary objection - Manner of raising - Is not in subtlety but to be conspicuously titled - The objection here flowing straight from the High Court - Supreme Court lacks jurisdiction (H1) Odedo v. INEC p. 2887

COURTS - Affidavits - Failure to file counter affidavit - Findings of fact - Evidence in support - Relevant facts relied upon by lower courts here - In finding for the respondent - Are not supported by appellant's affidavit - And no counter affidavit was filed (H3) p. 2855

COURTS - Appeals - Findings - Discretion - As findings cannot be made from mere pleadings - Without evidence in a contested matter - Lower courts were wrong - In not granting appellant's application for stay of proceedings (H4) Nika Fishing Co. Ltd v. Lavina Corporation p. 2855

COURTS - Issues - Interpretation of evidence - Issue of - That was not raised by parties' counsel before trial court - Is of no consequence - And such new issue cannot be raised on appeal - Without leave of court (H5) Shekse v. Plankshak P. 3045

COURTS - Misdirection - No case submission - Made at close of prosecution's case - Trial court was wrong in evaluating evidence - And writing lengthy judgment acquitting appellant - As rightly held by Court of Appeal (H3) Ekwunugo v. Federal Republic of Nigeria p. 2843

CRIMINAL LAW - Defences - Accidents - Mens rea - Definition - Presupposes that though accused committed the offence - He should be acquitted for lack of criminal intention - Burden of negating defence of accident - Remains on the prosecution (H2) Yaki v. State p. 3077

iv ***INDEX OF SUBJECT MATTER IN (2008) 7 KLR***

CRIMINAL PROCEDURE - Accidents - Culpable homicide punishable with death - Defence of accidental discharge - Where not timely raised - Nor supported by reliable testimony - The defence is not proved (H3) Yaki v. State p. 3077

CRIMINAL PROCEDURE - Murder - Contradictions - In the evidence of prosecution witnesses - Where not material - Appellant's appeal will be dismissed (H4) Yaki v. State p. 3077

CRIMINAL PROCEDURE - Murder - Proof - To secure conviction - Prosecution must prove three coexisting ingredients - Which include death of the deceased (H1) Yaki v. State p. 3077

CRIMINAL PROCEDURE - No case submission - Meaning - It means that there is no evidence - On which even if court believes it could convict - Issue of credibility of witnesses - Does not yet arise (H1) Ekwunugo v. Federal Republic of Nigeria p. 2843

CUSTOMARY LAW - Customary tenant - Possessory rights of - He is entitled to possession of the land in perpetuity subject to his good behaviour - Even upon misbehaviour he can only be dispossessed by an action in forfeiture (H3) Salami v. Lawal p. 3013

CUSTOMARY LAW - Inheritance - Intestate - Letters of administration - Applicability - It is inapplicable to administration of property inherited under customary law - As was the case of the respondents herein (H1) Oyebamiji v. Lawanson p. 2991

ELECTIONS - Jurisdiction - Pre-election matters - Party primary election dispute - Does not fall within jurisdiction of election tribunal - Vide any provision of the Constitution, and the Electoral Act (H5) Odedo v. INEC p. 2887

ELECTIONS - Party primaries - Substitution of a candidate - On the ground that his name was sent "without enough information" - Does not comply with the mandatory provisions of s. 34 (1) & (2) Electoral Act 2006 (H6) Odedo v. INEC p. 2887

ELECTIONS - Waiver - Party primaries - Guidelines that provide for winning 50% of the total votes - Is deemed waived in this case (H7) Odedo v. INEC p. 2887

EVIDENCE - Locus in quo visit - Principles in respect of - Are stated by the Supreme Court in Enigwe case - Appellant was not able to show - That non recording of proceedings at the locus in quo - Adversely affected his case (H4) Shekse v. Plankshak P. 3045

EVIDENCE - Proof of service - Affidavit - Value of - Affidavit of service is prima facie proof - But where it is disputed by defendant as in this case - Court has a duty to satisfy itself that there has in fact been service (H2) Okoye v. Centre Point Merchant Bank Ltd p. 2965

LAND LAW - Concurrent findings - Boundary dispute - Lower courts' findings - That exhibit D did not resolve a boundary dispute - And other concurrent findings that are not shown to be perverse - Will not be disturbed by the Supreme Court (H3) Shekse v. Plankshak P. 3045

LAND LAW - Issues - Boundary dispute - Where raised by appellant in his statement of claim - He cannot complain that trial court raised it suo motu (H2) Shekse v. Plankshak P. 3045

LAND LAW - Trespass - Admission - Where appellant admits in his statement of claim - That respondents are in lawful possession - He cannot rightly bring trespass action against them (H1) Shekse v. Plankshak P. 3045

MARITIME LAW - Carriage of goods by sea - Jurisdiction clause - Construction of - Courts should give effect to it - Unless the party in breach shows a strong cause to warrant otherwise - Which was not the case herein (H2) Nika Fishing Co. Ltd v. Lavina Corporation p. 2855

NO CASE SUBMISSION - Propriety of - Can be upheld for lack of evidence - Or discrediting vide cross examination - What to consider - Is whether prima facie case requiring explanation - Was made out

against accused person (H2) Ekwunugo v. Federal Republic of Nigeria p. 2843

PLEADINGS - Statute of limitation - Failure to plead - Effect - Statute of limitation must be specifically pleaded to be relied on where it applies - But it does not apply in this case as claim is founded on continuing trespass (H2) Oyebamiji v. Lawanson p. 2991

PRACTICE & PROCEDURE - Jurisdiction - Objection to - Manner of raising - It is different from a demurrer - Can be raised without filing pleadings and resolved before further steps - As rightly done by defendant herein (H1) Nika Fishing Co. Ltd v. Lavina Corporation p. 2855

PRACTICE & PROCEDURE - Originating processes - Failure to serve - Effect - Failure to serve an originating process is not merely an irregularity - It is a fundamental defect which renders the proceedings a nullity (H1) Okoye v. Centre Point Merchant Bank Ltd p. 2965

STATUTES - Interpretation & Construction - Elections - Party primaries - Substitution of a candidate - On the ground that his name was sent "without enough information" - Does not comply with the mandatory provisions of s. 34 (1) & (2) Electoral Act 2006 (H6) Odedo v. INEC p. 2887

SUPREME COURT - Powers - Rehearing a case as if court of first instance - Vide s. 22 Supreme Court Act - What to consider includes elimination of further delay in the interest of justice - Election cases need invocation of this power (H8) Odedo v. INEC p. 2887

TORTS - Trespass - Ingredients - Action for trespass is sustained by proof of possession and defendant's trespass - It is not dependent on a successful claim of title by plaintiff - As rightly held by trial judge herein (H1) Salami v. Lawal p. 3013

TORTS - Trespass - Trespasser in possession - Status of - He is entitled to keep his possession against the whole world - Except a person who

can show a better title than himself (H2) Salami v. Lawal p. 3013

COURT OF APPEAL

CHIEFTAINCY MATTERS - Pleadings - Averments - Failure to plead names of selectors of the chief - Will not warrant expunging that evidence - As fact giving rise to those names was pleaded (H3) Gagarau v. Pashiri p. 3135 CA

CONSTITUTIONAL LAW - Judgments - Period of delivery - Legal implications - Where delivered outside the 90 days constitutional provision - Setting it aside will be based on a party - Having suffered miscarriage of justice (H1) Gagarau v. Pashiri p. 3135 CA

CROSS EXAMINATION - Pleadings - Evidence extracted during cross examination - Where not pleaded - Plaintiff should amend his pleadings - Before he can rely on it (H4) Gagarau v. Pashiri p. 3135 CA

EVIDENCE - Contradictions - Pleadings - Chieftaincy selection - Where there is material inconsistency - In the testimony of respondent's witnesses - The pleaded fact should be regarded as not proved (H7) Gagarau v. Pashiri p. 3135 CA

EVIDENCE - Contradictions - Relevance - The law does not forbid immaterial discrepancy in evidence - Contradiction in issue here is material - As it relates to a relevant question (H6) Gagarau v. Pashiri p. 3135 CA

JUDGMENTS - Period of delivery - 90 days constitutional limitation period - Though not complied with - Trial court's judgment will not be nullified - As no miscarriage of Justice was occasioned (H2) Gagarau v. Pashiri p. 3135 CA

JURISDICTION - Courts - Cause of action - Arising from seismic activities - By the provisions of s. 251 (1) (n) 1999 Constitution - Every civil cause arising from seismic activities - Fall within the exclusive jurisdiction of the Federal High Court (H1) C. G. G. Nig. Ltd. v.

viii **INDEX OF SUBJECT MATTER IN (2008) 7 KLR CA**

Amaewhule p. 3119 CA

JURISDICTION - Determinant factors - Courts - Jurisdiction is a function of the operation of law and the character of the particular suit - Which character is ascertained from the facts, the contents and essence of the claim - Not necessarily the terms used by the plaintiff (H2) C. G. G. Nig. Ltd. v. Amaewhule p. 3119 CA

PLEADINGS - Averments - Evidence - Chieftaincy - Failure to plead names of selectors of the chief - Will not warrant expunging that evidence - As fact giving rise to those names was pleaded (H3) Gagarau v. Pashiri p. 3135 CA

PLEADINGS - Traverse - Propriety of - Chieftaincy - Goriola case relied upon by trial court - To fault the traverse in issue is not applicable - As the traverse in that case was general - While the one here being specific is proper (H5) Gagarau v. Pashiri p. 3135 CA

INDEX OF STATUTES & RULES

Administration of Estates Law, Laws of Oyo State, 1978, s. 10
Oyebamiji v. Lawanson p. 2991

Advance Fee Fraud and Other Fraud Related Offence Act s. 1 (1) (b)
Ekwunugo v. Federal Republic of Nigeria p. 2843

Constitution of the Federal Republic of Nigeria, 1999, s. 233(3)
Ugboaja v. Sowemimo p. 3061; ss. 6 (6) (b), 109, 111 (a) (i), 221,
240, 241 285 (1), (4) Odedo v. INEC p. 2887

Constitution of the Federal Republic of Nigeria, 1979, ss. 24 (1) and
329 Okoye v. Centre Point Merchant Bank Ltd p. 2965; ss. 6(1) & 6
(6) (b) Nika Fishing Co. Ltd v. Lavina Corporation p. 2855

Court of Appeal Rules, cap 62, L.F.N. 1990 O. 3 r. 2 (1) Okoye v.
Centre Point Merchant Bank Ltd p. 2965

Electoral Act, 2006 s. 30, 32, 34 (1), (2), (3) 35, 57 (1), 69, 97 (1) (e), (f), (2) (c), 140, 144 (1) (a), (b) & 145 (1) (a) - (d) *Odedo v. INEC* p. 2887

Evidence Act, Cap 112, LFN, ss. 135, 136 & 137 *Salami v. Lawal* p. 3013

Federal High Court Act, s. 7 *Nika Fishing Co. Ltd v. Lavina Corporation* p. 2855

High Court (Civil Procedure) Rules of Oyo State, O. 25 r. 6 (1) & (2) *Oyebamiji v. Lawanson* p. 2991

High Court Rules of Anambra State 1988, O. 5 r. 4(1), O. 24 r. 15, O. 26 r. 5 *Okoye v. Centre Point Merchant Bank Ltd* p. 2965

Limitation Law, Cap 64, Laws of Oyo State, 1978 ss. 4 (1)(a) & 7(2) *Oyebamiji v. Lawanson* p. 2991

Supreme Court Act, 2006 s. 22 *Odedo v. INEC* p. 2887

COURT OF APPEAL

Constitution of the Federal Republic of Nigeria, 1979, ss. 258(1) & (4) *Gagarau v. Pashiri* p. 3135 CA

Constitution of the Federal Republic of Nigeria, 1999, S. 294(1) & (5) *Gagarau v. Pashiri* p. 3135 CA

Explosives Regulation Act, Cap. 117, Laws of the Federation of Nigeria, 1999, s. 2 *C. G. G. Nig. Ltd. v. Amaewhule* p. 3119 CA

Federal High Court (Amendment) Decrees Nos. 60 & 107 of 1993 *C. G. G. Nig. Ltd. v. Amaewhule* p. 3119 CA